



IBIMA

Publishing

mobile

***Journal of Human Resources
Management Research***

*Vol. 2012(2012), Article ID
531015, 287 minipages.*

*DOI:10.5171/2012.531015
www.ibimapublishing.com*

Copyright © 2012 Ross Humby. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License unported 3.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that original work is properly cited.

**On-line HRD:
A Leadership Decision
Making Case Study**

Author

Ross Humby

Dubai Women's College, Dubai,
United Arab Emirates

Abstract

This case study was conducted to determine what factors are important to decision making that led leaders in a national

nongovernmental
organization (NGO) to select
a technology-enhanced
distance education solution
for staff and volunteer
training. The Bates
ACTIONS technology choice

model (2000) and the curriculum-centred strategic planning model (Dolence, 2004) form the conceptual framework for the data collected from the case study interviews.

The factors that influenced the decision makers to choose a technology-enhanced distance education system include factors external as well as internal to the organization.

External factors are the market and economic situation, competitive and partnership considerations and the cost of technology for training. Internal factors are learner- centred or

organization- centred.

Learner-centred factors are the ability of learners to access the learning, the necessity to understand the learners' needs including their geographic

distribution, a need for interactivity in the learning design and an understanding of the impact of the technological choice on teachers and learners. Internal

organizational factors
include the understanding
of organizational impacts,
plus a need to incorporate
technology decisions into
the strategic planning and
operational analysis

processes. A measure of organizational effectiveness (i.e., key performance indicators) and the importance of individual skills complete the

organizational factor
influences.

Keywords: Information Technology, Decision Making, Nongovernment Organization, Case Study.

Introduction

Organizations face a constantly changing macroeconomic environment. Increasing global competition and

quickly advancing technology are creating an economy requiring organizations to build flexible and highly skilled workforces (Long & Smith, 2004). Organizational

change drivers, including globalization, economic rationalization and information technology (IT), are creating a future of constant change for public and private organizations

(Bates, 1999; Farrell, 2001; Van Schoor, 2003; Wang, 2002). IT is both a driver of change and a facilitator of change, particularly in the area of distance education and training (Mitchell,

2003; Russell,
Vanclay&Aslin 2010; Wang,
2002). Educational
organizations and others in
the NGO sector have been
caught in this transition,
which has affected

decisions with respect to training.

Increased financial pressure and increasingly technical job requirements occur as the result of these

changes in the macroeconomic environment of the nongovernmental organization (NGO) sector. Non-profit organizations have historically been short

on financial and human resources. The resource shortage has been exacerbated in recent years with cutbacks in federal government support (Faircloth, 2005; Hall et al.,

2003). A drop in donor giving and competition for the same human and financial resources with the for-profit sector has created serious resource

challenges (Faircloth, 2005).

These circumstances have created an organization environment in which frequent training and

retraining for employees is necessary. Researchers may not agree on the optimal role of technology-enhanced learning in organizational learning compared with traditional

learning, but there has been strong consensus that technology-enhanced learning is reshaping training and education (Bates, 1999; Tu, 2005).
Human resource training

for technical skills and operational process skills has been identified as a critical operational challenge facing the non-profit sector (Hall et al., 2003).

The macroeconomic environment has changed significantly on many dimensions. The result has been that the critical factors for firm and organization success now

include information-based skills and the effective use of knowledge management (Mitchell, 2003; Tu, 2005).

Relationships matter more today for organizations.

Legal relationships among the education, government and business sectors are more varied. Strategic alliances, partnerships, flexible business networks and project-based

cooperation are manifestations of the merging or converging of organizational structures among education, business, industry and government (van der Wende, 2003).

Within these new structures, some have assumed that current human-resources models and policies can be universally transferred into the new structure of the

online environment. A number of virtual education or e-learning initiatives may have failed because these assumptions may not have held true (Farrell, 2001, p. 9).

This series of changes in the business environment is accompanied by a change in how managers make training decisions.

Decision Making for Technology-Enhanced Training

Distance learning is a
separation, traditionally
physical, of learner and

instruction. In the current mode of technology-enhanced learning, distance learning can be a separation of learner and instruction in time or space

(Simonson, Smaldino,
Albright, & Zvacek, 2003).

In helping organizations
with the question of how
and why to consider
technology-enhanced

training, Murray and Bloom (2000) summarized the possible benefits of the use of information technologies for learning. Murray and Bloom link learning technologies with defined

benefits for employers and employees. They identify additional challenges or barriers common in utilizing learning technologies. The benefits of learning technologies

from the employer perspective included (a) cost savings, (b) flexibility, (c) learning linked with work, (d) interaction and collaboration, (e) decentralized learning, (f)

alignment of training with specific job-related needs, (g) motivate employees and (h) improved retention of learning. The benefits from the employees' perspectives were (a)

greater control over
learning, (b) focused
learning, (c) value increases
to employer, (d) self-
confidence, (e) job
satisfaction, (f) job
performance, (g)

recognition of achievement,
(h) safer work environment
and (i) improved e-literacy.

The benefits to learners of
flexibility, interactivity and
accessibility were cited by

other researchers exploring technology-enhanced solutions (Bates, 1999; Mitchell, 2003; Newton, Hase, & Ellis, 2002; Tu, 2005).

Against this background of change, decision makers in the organization were faced with the challenge of determining which training solutions best fit the training needs and how to

plan best for this training.
One of the major challenges facing managers and executives remained that of information assimilation (Tu, 2005).

Decision makers were ready to use technology as a training solution, but the leaders hesitated because they were not sure how to proceed with the selection of specific applications

from among the different technologies (Maltz&DeBlois, 2005).

Evidence-based management is a term utilized to describe a

decision process more at the positivist end of the spectrum. It has been utilized extensively in Western medical research and practice. Evidence-based management

requires that decision makers set aside “sacred cows” embedded in beliefs and conventional wisdom and gather facts and information for decision making (Pfeffer & Sutton,

2006). E-learning initiatives and the assumptions related to cost and access may well fall into the "sacred cow" category.

In contrast, the garbage can model of organizational choice (Cohen, March, & Olsen, 1972) was built on the general principles of organized anarchies characterized by three

general properties (p. 1).
First, the organization operates in an inconsistent fashion with ill-defined preferences. Second, the process by which the organization functions is

not understood by the individuals within the organization. Third, participation in decision making is fluid, with the consequence that decision-making boundaries for

individuals are uncertain. Cohen et al. used the context of organized anarchy to model decision making. Various problems and solutions are placed into the “garbage can.” The

decision choice involves four variables: problems, solutions, participants and choice opportunities. In the case of training decisions related to the introduction of technology- enhanced

training, a growing body of research has argued that e-learning is valued as much on political as on pedagogic grounds (Oliver & Conole, 2003; Russell, Vanclay & Aslin 2010).

When scholars have needed to characterize the rationale of decision makers for choosing from among e-learning technologies for an organization, they have

cited Bates's (1995, 2000) ACTIONS model (Murphy, Harvell, & O'Donnell, 1998; Murray & Bloom, 2000; Newton et al., 2002). Bates (2000) provides a review of the most common reasons

for the educational use of IT-based learning: (a) improving access, (b) improving the quality of learning, (c) reducing the costs of education and (d)

improving the cost effectiveness of education.

Bates (2000) first proposed the ACTIONS (Access and flexibility, Costs, Teaching and learning, Interactivity,

Organizational issues, Novelty, Speed) model in 1995 as a decision tool when choosing among different educational technologies. Bates used the term *technology* in the

broadest sense of information and communications technologies. Bates' model was created to help decision makers determine which of the available

educational technology methods is the most suitable for introduction into a particular situation. The ACTIONS model was built on the premise that the decision to utilize a

technology-based training solution has already been made and in the process of managing the change, the next decision was to determine which of the

alternative technologies
might fit the best.

This ACTIONS model has
been referenced by other
researchers, including
Dolence (2004), Gaytan and

Slate (2003), and McAlpin and Jackson (2000). In the application of the model, decision makers must consider the impact of the proposed learning technology on either the

student (access, novelty, speed, interactivity); the institution (cost, organizational issues, teaching functions, interactivity); or in some cases, both. The ACTIONS

model was used as one part of the conceptual framework in this research because the seven factors in the ACTIONS model were sufficient to allow for the determination of decision

criteria from interview data. The basic assumption of a "needs assessment" prior to training and the identified trend to a more learner-centred approach to strategic planning for

technology-enhanced distance education means that this research had to span the likely range of factors linking needs assessment to the strategic planning process and to the

decision to utilize
technology-enhanced
distance education for staff
training.

E-Learning Planning Models

Bates (2000), Cavalier
(2002), Murray (2001),
Murray and Bloom (2000),
Wild et al. (2002), and

other researchers have developed models to assist leaders in making difficult choices when planning for e-learning. Distance education is an option for addressing organizational

needs, but it is not necessarily the best or the most appropriate response. The best way to avoid the pitfalls of costly investment and limited return to the organization is to

incorporate a needs assessment into the planning process before selecting a method to address the performance gap.

Bates (2000), Cavalier (2002), Murray (2001), Murray and Bloom (2000), and Wild et al. (2002) either directly or implicitly have acknowledged the necessity for a needs

assessment and a planned strategic approach to determine the suitability of e-learning as a method for closing identified performance gaps. Dublin (2004) linked e-learning,

when implemented correctly, to improved workforce performance and improved organizational performance. These links were more an afterthought

than the conscious decision to improve performance through a technological learning solution.

The effective use of technology for teaching and

learning requires a revolution in thinking about teaching and learning, namely, the development of a learner-centred view (Lieberman-Yaconi, Hooper &

Hutchings 2010; Tu, 2005).
It is sometimes difficult for
decision makers to
separate the market-driven
rationales from the
scientific, the popular from
the necessary, and the myth

from the reality in technology-enhanced distance training. The growth rate of distance learning, for example, is an indication of both demand and supply willingness to

utilize this method of training.

In the rush to adopt technology-enhanced distance training methods, trainers may have little

experience, or they may even ignore the many possible variables that affect the introduction and maintenance of these methods (Gladieux, 2000). A certain determinism is

informing much of their decision making (Kowch, 2005b), and a lack of planning in the decision-making process means that there needs to be much

more research on this to assist administration.

Business and organizational theorists have applied various strategic and operational

planning models to the organization in an attempt to minimize risks. The rapid development of IT applications has paradoxically made this planning process

redundant and critically necessary (Boettcher, Doyle, & Jensen, 2000; Ringle & Updegrave, 1998). This apparent contradiction occurs if one attempts to apply old models to this

new environment by
isolating the departments
and planning incrementally.
There is an awareness of
the need not only to
integrate the planning of
training into organizational

goals but also to see the application of IT as facilitating organizational goals.

In education, much of the IT debate has emphasized the

technical detail (Cavalier, 2002). When the focus is directed at that level, there is a tendency to miss consideration of several more strategic issues or questions including what is

proving to be an all-important consideration, namely, the alignment of IT strategies with overall organizational goals (Bates, 2001; Dolence, 2004; Gaytan& Slate, 2003;

Kowch, 2005a;
Ringle&Updegrove, 1998;
Sellers, 2005). Also noted in
the literature reviewed is a
difference between the
tactical level of
technologically oriented

development and the strategic goal of enhanced organizational performance (Wagner, 1994, p. 2). This distinction is important because it recognizes the goals of the organization as

the priority and the technology as the tool or path to the goal.

Carroll (2000, p. 127) created a learner-centred model that effectively

describes the changing roles of learners and instructors in the complex learning environment of today that is facilitated by the use of IT. In his model, the problem, or topic of

learning, is the central point, with learners and expert learners (teachers in the old model) interacting in a nonlinear fashion. IT are disruptive technologies somewhat akin to the

development of the steam engines and aircraft. Carroll called this state of change constructive transformation. "Most of what we are doing with computers is comparable to

putting steam engines in wooden ships" (p. 132) is Carroll's descriptive method of explaining the current utilization of IT in the world of training and education. The education

industry (i.e., extended to all forms of education and training) will reap the full benefits of IT only when learning systems are redesigned with IT at the foundation (Carroll, 2000;

Russell, Vanclay&Aslin
2010; Sellers, 2005).
Carroll's comment is
evident in the revised
program planning models
for technology-enhanced

training discussed in detail
in this segment.

Strategic planning is
essential for organizations,
particularly those training
adults in an increasingly

technology-embedded,
performance-oriented
world (Boettcher et al.,
2000). Strategic planning
“focuses on what needs to
be done now in order to be
properly positioned for the

future" (Cavalier, 2002, p. 7). The purpose of strategic planning is to provide direction, concentration of effort, consistency of purpose and flexibility

across the organization
(Cavalier, 2002).

The CCSPM (Dolence, 2004)
is one of the planning
theory frameworks utilized
in research. Dolence

proposed an evolutionary model of strategic planning for the context of technology in educational settings based on the learner at the centre of the development.

The model incorporates continuous environmental scanning (macro external environment), measurement of key performance indicators (organizationally defined as

KPIs), self-study
(interpreted as
organizational learning)
and the action planning and
implementation process.
The resulting model was
Dolence's (2004) attempt

to blend the evolution of strategic planning in the business context with the specific environment of higher education. This iterative model incorporates the

assessment of the internal organizational environment and the external macroenvironment into a continuous cycle of planning, evaluation and adjustment. The researcher

found evidence in the cases that the IT planning is linked to the organization's strategic planning and that there is both support from and involvement at the board level and with top

management in the decision to utilize technology-enhanced distance education.

The CCSPM (Dolence, 2004) was chosen as the second

part of this study's
conceptual framework
because the model
facilitates the identification
of separate strategic
planning factors and
elements, and relates the

findings to technology choice. The model draws together the central idea of a learner-centredness view, incorporates changing conditions in the external environment and links

organizational performance with the strategic planning process in the organization. Bryson's (2004) strategy change cycle model provided additional detail to the Dolence CCSPM and

was used to provide clarification for coding purposes in the environmental scanning factor.

A Conceptual Framework of Technology-Enhanced Training Decision Making in NGOs

The framework for
understanding the

decision-making process is
Bates's (2000) ACTIONS
technology choice model.
The framework for
understanding and
interpreting the planning
process relative to

technology-enhanced training is Dolence's (2004) CCSPM. This research provides a new and deeper understanding of the factors that influence an NGO to *go online* for

training. The study does not attempt to evaluate technology choice options, or address *if* online learning was the most appropriate choice, rather it focuses on the factors

that influenced the decision makers to choose a technology enhanced solution.

Research Methods

This research is a qualitative study of decision making in an organizational context. Because little research has

been done on charitable,
non-profit NGO decision
making about technology-
enhanced distance learning,
the research created new
knowledge and
understanding about this

complex process. The nature of the world of the NGO decision maker is best described by researchers who believe that "reality can only be created by investigation of the

phenomenon from the view of the participants through the inquirer's understanding of the participants" (Schwandt, 1994, p. 120).

The choice to use a qualitative method of research comes from a keen sensitivity to the nature of the inquiries planned. There is a need to explore deeply the decision-making

process of individuals in a complex, national context where people who lead are, to varying degrees, aware of technology, leadership or some of the theoretical complexities of their

practice. This research is exploratory, descriptive and speculative. The decision to use the qualitative approach is appropriate for complex studies where unexpected

processes and facts may emerge (Marshall & Rossman, 1999).

The research explores the *complexities* in both *content* and *process* of decision

making made at the individual level within an organization. Case study was used for this research to describe and analyze the research findings and interpretations. The case

study “is both the process of learning about the case and the product of our learning” (Stake, 2000, p. 443). As such, this research is a look-back study on how individuals in an NGO

decided to make the distance learning commitment in a multiple case study (Stake, 2000, p. 446).

Within the qualitative approach, case study is recommended by research methodology experts as appropriate for the study of groups, processes and events (Creswell, 2003, p.

183). In case study, case(s) can be created so that they are bounded by *time* and *activity* and researchers can collect .

detailed information using a variety of data collection procedures over a sustained period of time (Crotty, 2003, p. 15). The data collected in case study can be based on

observation, interviews or documents (Wolcott, 1990). The case approach is well suited for natural, holistic, culture or organization studies (Stake, 2000), such

as the investigation that is carried out in this study.

The Canadian Health Centre – a pseudonym to protect confidentiality - (CHC) is an established national NGO

that has multiple locations and an administrative structure with defined lines of authority. It is a charitable, non-profit, incorporated entity with an elected board of directors.

Through its programs and services, the CHC offers education, information and advocacy for organizations, groups and individuals connected to the mental health service sector. The

CHC has a documented history of operations, including annual reports, project reports and meeting minutes, that has provided a rich documentary source of information.

Data collection is a combination of semi-structured interview and documentary evidence.

Data were analyzed from interview transcripts and a frequency count was

constructed based on occurrences of the factors in the Bates (2000) and Dolence (2004) models. Documentary evidence was used to verify interview data.

Findings

Fourteen interviews were completed with the participants, who were from a variety of positions and work responsibilities

inside and outside of the organization. Four respondents represented the board of directors; 2 represented executive or national-level positions; 4 represented managerial

levels in the organization; 2 were consultants; 1 was a non-managerial employee; and the final participant was retired at the time of the interview. Eight cases were separated from the

pool of participants based on the identification as a *decision maker* in the context of this research into technology-enhanced distance education decisions. This

determination was based on the actions and activities as reported during the interviews, and triangulated with supporting documentary evidence. Four operational

cases and 4 cases at the board of director level counted as the decision makers.

There is a strong focus on the cost of any chosen

option, including the cost of not doing anything dominating the decision makers' thinking. The decision makers also realize the need to be attentive to the learners in

providing access for the learners, and in recognizing the impact of any changes in delivery on teachers and learners currently in the system. These decision makers also recognize the

need for interactivity in the design and delivery of training for the learners.

Decision Making in the CHC

At the CHC, there has been an interest in the application of online technology for learning and

communication as far back as 1996. There were communication and discussion processes at the board level years before they were explored at the executive level in or around

2002. There did not exist the experience or expertise (*individual skills*) within the organization to really begin to explore the details, the intricacies, of what might be possible with

information and
communications
technologies beyond the
Internet for e-mail and an
organizational web
presence. The evolution of
distance education and

training at the CHC includes the use of the Internet as a communication tool, e-mail and limited web conferencing. This experience with the Internet and the client

training expertise then became the foundation for the specific software selection.

The key factors influencing the participants' decision to

adopt a technology-enhanced distance education system for staff and volunteer training are listed in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1. Ranking of Relevant Decision Factors

**Please see Table 1.1 in
full PDF version**

Cost, including economic conditions, the availability of financial resources, and the costs of doing training traditionally or via technology and distance, is the most important factor.

The participants clearly identify various aspects of the cost of technology decisions. The findings most frequently include comments with respect to the lack of availability of

financial resources to the organization and to the broader NGO sector. The availability of resources is linked to a lack of staff to perform basic functions; the initial cost of the

technological investment;
the lack of funds for any
training; or even the
mandated necessity to offer
and deliver services to
members all across Canada
and, by extension, to have

trained staff at each facility to serve clients.

The impact on teachers and learners is the next most important factor for the decision makers, who

realize that there is a need for interactivity in the learning. The findings for Teaching and Learning include activities that are staff, volunteer, board and participant related. Because

the CHC does not have a specific training program, the elements associated with Teaching and Learning reflect those issues impacting the consideration to utilize

technology for training strategically as well as operationally. Two themes emerge in this factor.

The first is related to the range of options that

existed when the participants decided how best to deliver any required training. The second theme, which was clearly related to the first, is related to the consequences of the choice

of technology adopted as a result of decisions. This set of responses revealed the concern for the learners and the trainers/teachers when moving forward with technology solutions.

Also, very important to the decision makers is a concern for the nature of the learning and the nature of the learners.

The participants included in this *interactivity* factor those experiences directly involved with the online learning decisions for the program clients. There were references to the need

of the organization to facilitate communication by enhancing connectivity between locations and employees of the organization. The interview participants saw the need

for the chosen platform to offer an interesting and enriched learning environment. There also were comments that indicated that even though interactivity is an

important aspect, there could still be some technical difficulties and obstacles in the execution of training activities over this medium.

The dispersed *geographic* locations of the learners has made providing access to the learning an important factor. The decision makers recognized the impact on the

organization, or at least the potential impact of changes to a technology-enhanced distance learning system.

There is the recognition of the impact on the

organization of the adoption of specialized software and distance education and training. The realization is that there were planned changes as well as unanticipated

changes. The decision makers at the CHC really did not know what to expect or ultimately how the chosen technologies would impact the organization, but there has

been the recognition that there would be an impact.

The respondents with the organization identified several issues related to the *access* factor targeted at

program participants,
board members, employees
and volunteers. The
geographic distribution of
project sites (discussed
previously as the decision
factor of *geography*), the

national nature of the organization and the regional representation on the board of directors were all considerations when decision makers looked at

access to the learning via technology.

The nature and necessity of having *individuals* with technical (information technology) skills within or

accessible to the organization is the final decision factor. This meant looking at the organization from the perspective of what capacities and capabilities existed in the

employees or volunteers,
and what capacities are
necessary to move forward
with a technology-
enhanced distance
program. This availability of
technical skills also is

linked in the mindset of several participants to specific individuals. A senior manager's skill set, technologically, managerially and from a leadership perspective, is

seen as a pivotal
occurrence in
organizational technology-
enhanced development.

Decision Factors When Planning for Technology (CCSPM)

This study found
conclusively that two
planning factors are

prevalent across all participants making technology and training decisions in this NGO: Environmental Scanning and Learner-Centred Curriculum. These two

factors account for more than half of the total number of responses by the planners of technology-enhanced distance education based on Dolence's (2004) model.

In the more detailed findings by element, the relative importance of the Market/Economic element of the Environmental Scanning factor became apparent.

The responses for Market/Economic conditions were found to be the highest ranked element of (see Figure 1.2 and Table 1.2). The second and third most frequent elements are

elements of the factor group Learner-Centred Curriculum Framework, which is an evidence of the important connection with the learning population. The figure clearly displays

the importance of the elements within the factors for planning as found in this study.

Table 1.2. CCSPM Cross-Case Aggregate Response by Factor

Please see Table 1.2 in full PDF version

The Environmental Scanning factor consists of the conditions, circumstances and situations that define and shape the external (i.e., outside the organization's

immediate control)
environment in which the
organization operates. In
the context of Dolence's
(2004) model and the work
by Gaytan and Slate (2003),
as well as Bryson (2004),

this environmental analysis includes the market conditions (the service group, funding agencies, general economic conditions); the competitive and

partnership opportunities; the regulatory environment; and social and technical trends. As the opening quote from one of the consultants to the CHC indicated, the sector faces

challenges with the allocation of sufficient resources to service the client groups adequately. One of the constant challenges is determining

how to manage the service
with very limited resources.

Market and Economic
conditions, which include
general economic
conditions and the

availability of funding or markets to pursue for funding, is the single most common element noted. This element is the single most frequently cited

element across all planning elements in the CCSPM.

Figure 1. CCSPM Cross-Case Aggregate Element and Factor Totals

Please see Figure 1 in full PDF version

The CHC was founded as membership-driven grassroots organization to advocate and represent those in its service mandate. With that grassroots focus at

creation, the organization had maintained this client centeredness as a principle in operational and strategic planning, as evidenced by 82 occurrences, nearly the same as the 83 occurrences

for the Environmental Scanning factor. A measure of learner centeredness is a reported factor in the replies for all of the participants. The national nature of the organization

and its growth across the six provinces was reflected in the comments with respect to the importance of access and the consistent information flow between the operational locations,

and between operations and the head office locations. The comments reflect the national mandate of the organization, the need to provide training for staff

and the rationale for
technology-enhanced
distance education in the
organizational context.

Conclusion

The decision makers within the organization utilize factors from the external environment, including the costs of technologies,

competitive and partner activities, market and economic considerations, most frequently followed by concerns related to the organizational or internal environment. There is a

demonstrated concern for the learners and teachers, and a recognition that there would be an impact on the organization's operations. Organizational change is further necessitated by the

expansion of employment program sites to new and different geographic areas of the country. Finally, the role of the individual skill sets is a critical characteristic in the

decision and planning of a
technology-enhanced
training solution at the
CHC.

Table 1.3 Characteristics Important to Decision Making and Planning at the CHC

**Please see Table 1.3 in
full PDF version**

Table 1.3 represents the separation and reorganization of the decision and planning findings in this research. This resulted in characteristics in the

external environment (i.e., beyond the immediate control of the organization) and those characteristics in the internal environment over which the organization could exert

control or influence through decision making and planning. These characteristics internal to the organization were further subdivided into ones that impacted the

learners and those that were organizationally based. This distinction between internal and external characteristics has been seen in the work of other researchers (Cavalier,

2002; Dolence, 2004;
Gaytan& Slate, 2003;
Lieberman-Yaconi, Hooper &
Hutchings 2010; Sellers,
2005; Xue, Liang & Boulton
2008). There also has been
additional case-based

research supporting this set of findings and analysis with technology-enhanced learning (Andreu&Jauregui, 2005; Garrett & Vogt, 2003; Newton et al., 2002).

Decision making and planning for technology-enhanced training is a complex subject for a resource-poor NGO in the non-profit sector. This study presents descriptive

and explanatory multiple
case analyses
demonstrating factors
important for decision
makers and how planning
was done for technology-
enhanced education in a

non-profit NGO. A new framework for leadership considering technology-enhanced education in such a context is offered for future decision makers, leaders, scholars and

planners who engage in technology-involved training solutions in an NGO similar to the one in this study (Table 1.3).

The decision makers used information about the external operating environment, including cost, economic and market conditions. They also consider internal

organizational factors,
including both learner-
centred and organizational-
centred factors.

References

Andreu, R. & Jauregui, K.
(2005). "Key Factors of E-Learning: a Case Study at a Spanish Bank," *Journal of*

*Information Technology
Education, 4, 1-31.*

Bates, A. W. (1999).

"Impact of New Media on
Academic Knowledge,"
Conference paper

presented at *Envisioning
Knowledge – From
Information to Knowledge*,
November 1999, Munich,
Germany.

Bates, A. W.
(2000). *Managing
Technological Change:
Strategies for College and
University Leaders*, San
Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Bates, A. W. (2001). "The Continuing Evolution of ICT Capacity: The Implications for Education," In G Farrell (Ed.), *The changing faces of virtual education* (pp. 29-46). *Vancouver, BC:*

*Commonwealth of
Learning.*

Boettcher, J. V., Doyle, M.
M.& Jensen, R. W. (2000).
"Technology Driven
Planning: Principles to

Practice," Ann Arbor, MI:
*Society for University
Colleges and Planning.*

Bryson, J. M.
(2004). Strategic Planning
for Public and Nonprofit

Organizations (3rd Ed.),
San Francisco: *Wiley*.

Carroll, T. G. (2000). "If We
Didn't Have The Schools
We Have Today, Would We
Create the Schools We Have
Today?," *Contemporary*

*Issues in Technology and
Teacher Education*, 1 (1),
117-140.

Cavalier, J. C. (2002). "The
Forgotten Question in
Information Technology

Strategic Planning,"
*Planning for Higher
Education*, 31(1), 5-14.

Cohen, M. D., March, J. G. &
Olsen, J. P. (1972). "A
Garbage Can Model of

Organizational Choice,"
*Administrative Science
Quarterly*, 17, 1-17.

Creswell, J. W.
(2003). *Research Design:
Qualitative, Quantitative,*

and Mixed Methods
Approaches, (2nd ed.).
Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Crotty, M. (2003). *The
Foundations Of Social
Research: Meaning and*

Perspective in the Research
Process, *Thousand Oaks, CA:
Sage.*

Dolence, M. G. (2004). "The
Curriculum-Centered

Strategic Planning Model,"
Research Bulletin, 10, 1-11.

Dublin, L. (2004). "The Nine
Myths of E-Learning
Implementation: Ensuring
the Real Return on Your E-

Learning Investment,"
*Industrial and Commercial
Training*, 36(6/7), 291-294.

Faircloth, J. B. (2005).
"Factors Influencing Non-
Profit Resource Provider

Support Decisions:
Applying the Brand Equity
Concept To Non-Profit,"
*Journal of Marketing Theory
and Practice*, 13(3), 1-14.

Farrell, G. (Ed). (2001). The

Changing Faces Of Virtual
Education, Vancouver, *BC:*
Commonwealth of Learning.

Garrett, L. A. & Vogt, C. L.
(2003). "Meeting The
Needs Of Consumers:

Lessons From Business and Industry," *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, 100, 89-101.

Gaytan, J. A., & Slate, J. R. (2003). 'Multimedia and

the College of Business: a
Literature Review' *Journal
of Research on Technology
in Education*, 35(2), 186-
205.

Gladieux, L. (2000). "Global On-Line Learning: Hope or Hype?," *Higher Education in Europe*, 25(3), 351-353.

Hall, M., rukow, A., Barr, C., Brock, K., de Wit, M. &

Embuldeniya, D., et al.
(2003). 'The Capacity To
Serve: a Qualitative Study
of the Challenges Facing
Canada's Nonprofit and
Voluntary Organizations,'
Canadian Centre for

Philanthropy, (Statistics
Canada- Catalogue No. 61-
533-SPB)

Kowch, E. G. (2005a). "Do
We Plan the Journey or
Read the Compass? an

Argument for Preparing Educational Technologists to Lead Organizational Change," *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 5(6), 1067-1075.

Kowch, E. G. (2005b).

"Hargreave's Call for Social
Ingenuity in the Knowledge
Society – Future
Imperatives for Educational
Technology and Teaching?,"
Canadian Journal of

Learning and Technology,
31(1), 61-66.

Lieberman-Yaconi, L.,
Hooper, T. & Hutchings, K.
(2010). "Toward a Model of
Understanding Strategic

Decision-Making in Micro-Firms: Exploring the Australian Information Technology Sector," *Journal of Small Business Management* 48(1), 70–95.

Long, L. K. & Smith, R. D.
(2004). "The Role of Web-
Based Distance Learning in
HR Development," *Journal
of Management
Development*, 23(3/4), 270-
284.

Maltz, L., & DeBlois, P. D.
(2005). "Top-Ten IT Issues
2005," 15-28. Boulder, CO.
EDUCAUSE Centre For
Applied Research, Current
Issues Committee.

Marshall, C. & Rossman, G. B. (1999). *Designing Qualitative Research*, (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

McAlpin, A. & Jackson, J.
(2000). 'Change
Management Plan
Implementing on-Line
Delivery: A Study of Change
Management,' Brisbane,

*Australia: Australian
National Training Authority.*

Mitchell, J. (2003). E-
Business and Online
Learning: Connections and
Opportunities for

Vocational Education and
Training, Leabrook,
Australia: Australian
National Training
Authority.

Murphy, K. L., Harvell, T. J.
& O'Donnell, T. J. (1998).
"Choosing and Managing
Web Tools on a Budget,"
[Retrieved May 2006]
[Http://Disted.Tamu.Edu/~
Kmurphy](http://Disted.Tamu.Edu/~Kmurphy)

Murray, D. (2001). "E-Learning for the Workplace: Creating Canada's Lifelong Learners," Ottawa, *on: Conference Board Of Canada.*

Murray, D. & Bloom, M.
(2000). 'Solutions for
Employers: Knowledge
Review Report,' Ottawa, on:
Conference Board Of
Canada.

Newton, D., Hase, S. & Ellis, A. (2002). "Effective Implementation of Online Learning: a Case Study of the Queensland Mining Industry," *Journal of*

Workplace Learning, 14(4),
156-165.

Oliver, M. & Conole, G.
(2003). "Evidence-Based
Practice and E-Learning in
Higher Education: Can We

and Should We?," *Research Papers in Education*, 18(4), 385-397.

Pfeffer, J. & Sutton, R. I. (2006). "Profiting from Evidence-Based Evidence-Based

Management," *Strategy and Leadership*, 34(2), 35-42.

Ringle, M., & Updegrave, D. (1998). "Is Strategic Planning for Technology an

Oxymoron?," *Cause/Effect*,
2(1), 18-23.

Russell, A. W., Vanclay, F. M.
& Aslin, H. J. (2010).

"Technology Assessment in
Social Context: the Case for

a New Framework for
Assessing and Shaping
Technological
Developments," *Impact
Assessment and Project
Appraisal*, 28(2), 109-116.

Schwandt, T. (1994).
'Constructivist, Interpretive
Approaches to Human
Inquiry,' In N. K. Denzin and
Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.),
Handbook of Qualitative
Research (2nd Ed., Pp. 118-

137). *Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.*

Sellers, M. (2005). "Moogole, Google, and Garbage Cans: the Impact of Technology on Decision Making,"

*International Journal of
Leadership in Education,*
8(4), 365-374.

Stake, R. (2000). 'Case
Studies,' in N. K. Denzin and
Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.),

Handbook of Qualitative Research (2nd Ed., Pp. 435-454). Thousand Oaks, *CA*: *Sage*.

Tanoglu, I., Basoglu, N. & Daim, T. (2010). "Exploring

Technology Diffusion: Case
of Information
Technologies,"
*International Journal of
Information Technology and
Decision Making*, 9(2), 195-
222.

Tu, C.- H. (2005). "From Presentation to Interaction: New Goals for Online Learning Technologies," *Educational Media International*, 42(3) 189–206.

Van Der Wende, M. C.
(2003). "Globalisation and
Access to Higher
Education," *Journal of
Studies in International
Education*, 7(2), 193-205.

Van Schoor, A. (2003, July).
"Learning to Overcome
Resistance to Change in
Higher Education: The Role
of Transformational
Intelligence in The
Process," *Proceedings Of*

*HERDSA (Higher Education
Research And Development
Society Of Australasia Inc.),
Christchurch, New Zealand.*

Wagner, E. D. (1994).
"Technology Aside:

Building a Strategic Plan to
Strengthen Academic
Progress," *Cause/Effect*,
17(1), 109-121.

Wang, F.- K. (2002).

"Designing a Case-Based E-

Learning System: What,
How, and Why," *Journal of
Workplace Learning*,
14(1/2), 29-42.

Ward, D. & Hawkins B. L.
(2003, May/June).

"Presidential Leadership
for Information
Technology" *Educause
Review*, Xx, 37-47.

Watkins, R. (2003).

"Determining If Distance

Education is the Right
Choice: Applied Strategic
Thinking in Education,"
Computers in the Schools,
20(2), 103-120.

Wild, R. H., Griggs, K. A. &
Downing, T. (2002). "A

Framework for E-Learning
as a Tool for Knowledge
Management," *Industrial
Management and Data
Systems*, 102(7), 371-380.

Wolcott, H. F. (1990).
Writing Up Qualitative
Research. Newberry Park,
CA: Sage.

Xue, Y., Liang, H. & Boulton,
W. R. (2008). "Information

Technology Governance in
Information Technology
Investment Decision
Processes," *MIS Quarterly*,
32(1), 67-96.